



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,875	07/25/2003	Francine R. Chen	D/A3053/311291	1845

52725 7590 07/06/2006

CHRISTIAN AUSTIN-HOLLANDS ESQ.
P.O. BOX 170325
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117

EXAMINER

PONIKIEWSKI, TOMASZ

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2165

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,875	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Christian Austin-Hollands (Attorney of Record). (3) Ponikiewski, Tomasz (Examiner).
 (2) Neveen Abel-Jalil. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1, & Claim 77.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sundarsesan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,620 B1) & Dynamic Stopping (NPL) by Ralf Brown.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicant's representative requested the in-person interview to clarify issues presented in the response to the non-final office action. The Examiners agreed that the response filed on 6/8/06 overcomes the objects and rejections under 35 USC 101, 112, second paragraph.

The Applicant's representative discussed the difference between the instant application specifically the feature of "similarity vector between story pairs" and the prior art by pointing to the definition given in the specification paragraph 11. Also, the Applicant's representative pointed to the specification paragraphs 0086-0088 in explaining the novelty of claim 77 limitations "determining a verified first transformation....determining transformation error".

The Examiner will consider all arguments in addressing the applicant's response.